



HEADLINES

ARCHIVE

► ENVIRONMENT

REAL X-FILES

SCIENCE

ABOUT US

CONTACT US

CONTRIBUTORS

EARTHFILES SHOP

SEARCH IN DEPTH

SUBSCRIPTION

LOGIN

LOGOUT

HELP

Printer Friendly
Page

Gary McKinnon: An Electronic "Robin Hood"?

© 2006 by Linda Moulton Howe

Robin Hood is the archetypal English folk hero; a courteous, pious and swashbuckling outlaw of the medieval era who, in modern versions of the legend, is famous for his robbing the rich to feed the poor and fighting against injustice and tyranny." - Wikipedia

June 7, 2006 London, England - Once upon a time in the 1500s, the only way information reached the world was by pigeons, horseback and sailing ships. In that 16th Century, as today in the 21st Century, the common citizens were taxed, manipulated and controlled by the power/greed structures of various government entities. Riding by horseback to help the commoners, at least in literature, was a generous and caring soul named Robin Hood. He stole from the rich to share with the poor and his name became a symbol for championing the rights of the common citizen in the face of bullying and arrogant corporate and political hierarchies.

In early 2000, a modern electronic "Robin Hood" emerged. Back then, he was a 34-year-old, self-taught internet technology consultant in London who was frustrated to see all kinds of information and speculation on the Web about secret American technologies back-engineered from recovered extraterrestrial craft, which might solve the world's energy and transportation problems. His name is Gary McKinnon. Gary knew his mother's London water and heating bills were rising every year and resented the unfairness that so many people, especially pensioners, would suffer to simply stay warm in winter and cool in summer. Some people even die, unable to pay utility bills. So, he decided to look for hard evidence of antigravity technology and zero point energy technology that he kept reading about. What if such energy breakthroughs might already exist in secret, compartmentalized American government projects? Gary thought if he could *prove* such energy systems *did* exist, he would report what he found to the world and let the chips fall where they might. He wanted truth to overwhelm the national security lies in the U. S. and his own country, England.



Gary McKinnon in Trafalgar Square, London, England, his home city. In February 2006, he turned 40-years-old. He is a self-taught internet technology consultant.
Image courtesy mother, Janis McKinnon.

Gary had also read about Donna Hare, a woman who had worked at the NASA Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas, as a slide technician. Her office was in Building 8, a building that required a SECRET clearance to enter. Since Donna had a SECRET clearance and had received NASA's 1969 Apollo Achievement Award and several other honors for her technical artist skills, she assumed she could go anywhere in Building 8. One night there, she visited a fellow technician. She has since reported publicly about what happened in a May 6, 1995, WOL-AM radio broadcast from Baltimore, Maryland (See websites below.)

In a transcript made of that broadcast, Donna Hare said: "While I was there, I was trying to learn new methods and new things about the whole NASA photo organization. I was looking at pictures and my friend directed my attention to one area on a photograph. There was a white, circular-shaped dot in the B&W photo. I asked him if it was a spot on the emulsion. He said, 'I can't tell you, but spots on the emulsion do not leave round circles of shadows underneath.' I also noticed that there were pine trees. And I did notice there was a shadow under the white dot, and the trees were casting shadows in the same direction as the circle shadow of whatever the aerial phenomenon was, because it was higher than the trees, but not too much higher. I asked, 'Is that a UFO?' My friend said, 'I can't tell you.' I asked what he was going to do with the photo? He said, 'We have to airbrush these things out before we sell these photographs to the public.'

Gary McKinnon also read about Donna Hare's firsthand information that NASA airbrushed out UFOs from NASA photographs. So, Gary wanted to see if he could find any similar original UFO photographs in NASA computer files that had not been airbrushed. Gary created commands for his computer to look up ranges of Internet Protocol (IP) addresses to find out which were used by NASA and American military sites. His search began in early 2000 and extended to early 2002. During those two years, he found a list of

Earthfiles, news category.

"Non-Terrestrial Officers" associated with what Gary thinks is an off-planet operation, perhaps to weaponize space. He also saw a NASA photograph from the point of view of the upper atmosphere looking down toward Earth. In the foreground, very close-up, was a bizarre object unlike anything Gary had ever seen before. The shape was a cigar, pointed at both ends. The cigar had four geodesic dome structures. Gary could not see any rivets or seams and thought he was most likely looking at extraterrestrial technology.

That night in early 2002, while he was studying the cigar-object in the NASA photograph, Gary was cut off by whoever the NASA operator was of that particular computer. In March 2002, Gary McKinnon was arrested in London by authorities from the National High Tech Crime Unit, which has now been absorbed into a newly formed intelligence agency called Serious Organized Crime Association, or SOCA. Originally, Gary was going to be penalized under Britain's Computer Misuse Act. Maximum sentence would have been six months in jail and/or community service. But by November 2002, the American Department of Justice intervened in his case and said the DOJ would pursue Gary's extradition to the United States for the alleged hacking damage he had caused to American government computers. Now, in June 2006, Gary and his English attorney say he did no damage to American computers and are fighting the extradition proceedings, which might last for another five years if taken to the European Court of Human Rights.

I talked by phone with Gary McKinnon this week at his London home. Since it is illegal to hack into computers, especially military and government ones, I asked him to first comment on the American extradition request.

Interview:

Gary McKinnon (40-years-old), Self-Taught Internet Technology Consultant, London, England: "I obviously absolutely agree it's illegal. You can't counter the law. But in my opinion, and in the opinion of many others, I was acting in what I felt to be the public interest.

CAN YOU EXPLAIN?

I was looking for very hard evidence of anti-gravity technology, zero point energy technology that was arrived at by the reverse engineering of extraterrestrial craft and via secret compartmented projects of the American government, as well as others. I felt the world needs this free energy technology.

YES, COULD YOU EXPLAIN A BIT MORE FOR THE GENERAL AUDIENCE ABOUT WHY YOU FEEL THAT IT IS JUSTIFIED TO HACK INTO AREAS THAT MIGHT HAVE INFORMATION ABOUT FREE ENERGY AND NEUTRALIZING GRAVITY?

First of all, I wouldn't like to say to everyone that it is justified. I'm a person who was on my own. I was doing what I felt was perfectly justified, but I wouldn't recommend it to anyone. I felt we are not getting truth from the scientists and governments because they don't know the truth (about) these 'black projects,' if you will, are conducted outside of the normal government over-sight.

My personal opinion was – in Britain, for instance, we have old age pensioners dying because they can't afford to warm themselves because they can't afford the fuel bills, which have **gone up 55% in the last two years**. So, to have good evidence, or to think you might have a chance at getting good evidence, that the technology exists whereby everyone can warm themselves for free and heat their food and have their baths or whatever. I felt I was morally justified at the time.

NASA and Military IP Address Searches

HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT YOUR FIRST SEARCHES? AND HOW DID YOU ACCOMPLISH BREAKING IN?

OK, this isn't something you do through Google. These are private military networks. They are not websites. There is a finite address space on the internet. I think it numbers about 40 billion different IP addresses. Every time someone has an IP (Internet Protocol) address, which is just like a street number for your house on the street or your apartment number, you have to register that address with naming authorities. There are various commands you can give on the computer to look up ranges of IP addresses and find out from the naming authority for that country who owns those IP addresses. So that's what you do to locate the networks.

WHAT WERE THE FIRST SUBJECTS YOU SEARCHED?

I really was a one-issue person. I felt that since the UFOs were the source of this technology and energy technology in particular, and that there were so many sightings in America and lots of rumors from secret parts of the government and having recovered E.T. crafts and researching and investigating them thoroughly, that perhaps the best place would be to start with military sites.

List of "Non-Terrestrial Officers"

WHAT WAS THE FIRST PROVOCATIVE INFORMATION YOU REMEMBER FINDING?

I think the first thing was an Excel spreadsheet and the title of which was: 'Non-Terrestrial Officers.'

NON-TERRESTRIAL OFFICERS?

Precisely. Non-terrestrial officers. (Gary spells out 'officers')

WHAT DID IT SAY?

Non-terrestrial personnel. It was basically an administrative work sheet. It was literally just a list of names and ranks. But nowhere - when you do web searches or even an official Army, Navy, Air Force publications and literatures - that phrase is nowhere to be found. So at first, I thought I wouldn't get my hopes up and say it was literally some kind of non-terrestrial, like non-human. I thought it must be some kind of space-based terrestrial fleet, like you would have people from Earth doing the development.

But that coincided with finding another document, which had lists of ship-to-ship and fleet-to-fleet material transfers. None of the ship names matched up to U. S. Navy ships. So, the circumstantial evidence to me pointed towards the development of a new space-going force and hence, the weaponization of space.

NON-TERRESTRIAL, MEANING NOT DOWN ON THE EARTH AND NOT NEAR THE EARTH?

Precisely. Based off-planet.

NASA Airbrushes UFOs from Its Photos

GOING FROM THERE, WHAT WAS THE NEXT WORDS OR INFORMATION THAT STOOD OUT IN YOUR UFO SEARCH?

Unfortunately, there was only one more. But for me, this was the convincing thing, the smoking gun, as it were. I'm sure you are aware of the disclosure project run by Steven Greer? In a book that they sell, there is an ex-NASA photographic expert. Her name is Donna Tietze Hare. And she said she had Secret Clearance in Building 8 at Johnson Space Center. There were various projects going on, but they all had Secret Clearance and you had to have a Need To Know. You could not really tip toe into each others' projects and have a look.

But some of her colleagues she had worked with before and knew on a friendly basis were working close by within Building 8. A chap called her over and said, 'Why don't you take a look at this photograph?' It was high resolution satellite imagery. The view was about 1/2 to 1 kilometer above the Earth's surface. There was a white disc-shaped thing above the trees in the landscape. She said something like, 'Perhaps that's a blob on the emulsion.' As a photographic expert, she searched for a technical explanation first.

He said, 'Blobs on the emulsion don't have shadows, do they?' He pointed to an actual shadow on the ground caused by the object (in the air). He showed her a few more photographs and she said to him: 'Well, what do you mean? Are you basically airbrushing these images out before they reach the public?' He replied, 'I'm not saying anything. You can make up your own mind about what we are doing here.'

OK, so I thought, I'll use the same method, which was not clever hacking, unlike the media would have you believe. I use lots of other peoples' programs to search for blank passwords. I used the same method on Johnson Space Center. I found plenty of blank passwords. That was quite common, unfortunately. And then, I used various network commands to strip out the machines that were in Building 8. Again, I did a sort of sub-net scan on those machines and stripped out the ones with blank passwords there.

No Firewalls or Passwords

BEFORE WE GO INTO WHAT YOU FOUND, JUST FOR CLARITY, COULD YOU EXPLAIN ONE MORE TIME WHY YOU DON'T CONSIDER THIS HACKING? THAT WHAT YOU ARE BASICALLY FINDING IS WEAK SECURITY?

Yes. Very good point actually. Glad you slowed me down on that one. Yeah, the reason I didn't consider it hacking is that it was more like logging on. It was sort of a one-trick-pony. I was looking for blank passwords. So, there were no firewalls to begin with; otherwise, I would not have been able to log on from outside the network.

NO FIREWALLS?

No firewalls at all. And then secondly, no passwords. And it was not just an ordinary user. I was looking for Administrator-level passwords, an administrator that would have built an account in most Windows operating systems. They have full control over the one local machine that is on.

WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT AT THE MANAGEMENT LEVEL IN NASA, WHERE AN ADMINISTRATOR WOULD HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WORKING UNDER THEM, THAT PERSON'S COMPUTER DID NOT HAVE A FIREWALL OR A PASSWORD. IT WAS A DEFAULT SYSTEM?

Precisely, yes. And I think part of the trouble is that when you're working in a huge organization - and I know this because I have done I. T. (internet technology) support for banks. If you want everyone to have Windows, you don't run around with one CD to everyone's machine. You create what is called an 'image' of Windows and all the office software you are going to use and then you go across the network and write that image to every single machine's hard drive. So, it's a System Administration's method of making your work easier.

I think on some occasions because you are on a network level, and when people don't usually log in with the administrator on every single machine, if you leave the password blank on the image and then write that image to every single machine on the network, then unfortunately, every machine is compromised.

That was not the way on every network, but that did happen in a few where there were literally thousands of machines had blank passwords.

SO TECHNICALLY, FROM YOUR POINT OF VIEW, IN THOSE ACCESSES, YOU ARE NOT HACKING. YOU SIMPLY HAVE FOUND AN INSECURE SYSTEM IN WHICH YOU ARE ABLE TO BYPASS THE DEFAULT INSTEAD OF A PASSWORD?

Precisely. And it was not even bypassing. It was literally just logging on. But obviously I would like to stipulate that it is still illegal because you are not the legal owner of those credentials.

Unidentified Cigar-Shaped Aerial Device

RIGHT. OK, NOW, PROCEED WITH WHAT YOU FOUND.

OK, Donna Hare, ex-NASA photographic expert had said she had seen these air-brushed out photos at Johnson Space Center.

When I finally got down to those machines, the first machine I was on, I think, because I think the whole network in that building is used for that purpose – these images were 200 to 300 megabytes in size. Bear in mind, this was before Britain had broadband. I was on a 56-K dial-up modem. That's like 5 minutes per megabyte. There was no way on Earth I was going to download it from my own machine.

But the program I was using for remote control of machines – once you had logged on as administrator - gave you graphic control. You could sit there in London in my kitchen and be looking at the screen just as if you were sitting on a chair in front of the actual monitor where the Johnson Space Center is.

Also, the images were in a proprietary format. They were not normal jpegs or gifs or anything we are used to seeing. So, I decided the best thing to do was to navigate to one of the images in a folder from the Windows desk top and double click it and hope they had the right application software running so it would come into view. And it did!

But because of the size (in megabytes), I still had to turn it down to very low color. I think it was only 4 colors instead of 16 million. Very low screen resolution. And even then because the file was so huge, it took awhile to come down the screen.

What I saw – I was expecting what Donna had described – saucers above the Earth with maybe land masses outlined and shadows. But there was only one, unfortunately, that I got a chance to look at. It appeared to be hanging in space. The bottom 2/3s of the screen was a curvature (of the Earth).

MEANING, JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, THAT YOU'RE SEEING THE CURVATURE OF THE EARTH BELOW THE OBJECT?

That's correct. Well, I'm assuming it's the Earth. There were no landmasses visible. But it was the familiar blue and white swirl.

OK, SO WE ARE LOOKING IN COLOR?

Well, it was not true color. It's what is called 'cross-hatching' or 'shading' once you're down to 4-bit or 8-bit color. You're seeing shades of grey, you're not seeing actual blue. But they were cloud patterns just as we're used to seeing from space shots and shuttle shots.

SO, NOW, YOU'VE GOT THE CURVE OF THE RECOGNIZABLE EARTH FROM SPACE THAT WE'VE ALL SEEN FROM THE SPACE SHUTTLE. WHAT ELSE IS THERE IN DETAIL?

[In the NASA photograph] hanging there sort of mid-way between the camera viewpoint and the Earth's surface, is a very smooth, almost classic cigar-shaped object. The thing is there horizontally, positioned horizontally, with almost pointed ends to the cigar, so it wasn't the classic blunt-ended cigar. Above and below, and to the right and left, there were geodesic domes, sort of golf ball-shaped.

On closer inspection, there were no rivets, there were no seams, there were no nuts and bolts, there were no telemetry aerals, there were no windows. There was nothing. It just looked unlike anything manmade. It did have a strange look – it either was very advanced and secretive, or not of this Earth.

DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT HOW MANY FACETS THERE WERE ON EACH ONE OF THE SPHERES? AND WERE THEY HALF SPHERES? OR WHOLE SPHERES?

It was very, very clear. It happens that I have a great interest in programming computer graphics and am familiar with facets and polygons and whatever. These were very like golf balls, highly multi-faceted. It wasn't like sticking to 8 or 16 or 32. And it was half a sphere.

IT WAS A HALF?

Actually, no on the left and the right might have been - I think they were slightly more than half way. But the top and the bottom were definitely half. It did slightly remind me of a place over here called Menwith Hill. It has sort of golf ball radar stations. But there (Menwith), there are many less facets to those. But they did kind of remind me of those.



Above and below: Geodesic domes covering radar operations at the U. S. Army's Menwith Hill Station (54.0162 N; 1.6826 W) in England, is jointly operated with the UK's Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ). Menwith Hill is capable of carrying out *two million intercepts per hour*. Images by Intelligence Resource Program (see Menwith website below).



[Editor's Note: Menwith Hill Station in the United Kingdom (Scotland, Wales and England) is the "principal NATO theater ground segment node for high altitude signals intelligence satellites. The facility, jointly operated with the UK's Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ), is now **capable of carrying out two million intercepts per hour**.

Intelligence Resource Program (see Menwith website below) reports: "Menwith Hill Station was established in 1956 by the United States Army Security Agency (ASA). Menwith Hill was operated by ASA from 1958 until its turnover to NSA in June 1966. The Army 713th MI Group remains the Executive Agent for the NSA Menwith Hill field site, which was awarded the NSA's "Station of the Year" prize for 1991 after its role in the Gulf War. The Air Intelligence Agency 451st Intelligence Squadron (451 IS) as an integral part of Menwith Hill Station (MHS). Inside the closely-guarded 560 acre base are two large operations blocks and many satellite tracking dishes and domes. Initial operations focused on monitoring international cable and microwave communications passing through Britain. In the early 1960s Menwith Hill was one of the first sites in the world to receive sophisticated early IBM computers, with which NSA automated the labor-intensive watch-list scrutiny of intercepted but undeciphered telex messages. Since then, Menwith Hill has sifted the international messages, telegrams, and telephone calls of citizens, corporations or governments to select information of political, military or economic value."]

Then there was the way it was kind of flanged and joined together without seams. It looked like one precise shape. It was either very advanced or not manufactured by people.

GARY, DID YOU EVER SEE AT THAT TIME ANYTHING ON THE NASA SCREEN THAT SAID NON-TERRESTRIAL OR EXTRATERRESTRIAL BIOLOGICAL ENTITY OR ANY WORDS THAT WOULD RELATE ANYTHING THAT YOU SAW SPECIFICALLY TO AN EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE?

Unfortunately, no. When I eventually got into the networks, I wrote a program to search for files for Key Words. I had a long list which included what you just mentioned. Apart from that, the Excel spreadsheet was one. I'm not quite sure where I picked that up. Nothing in NASA about 'extraterrestrials.'

China Lake, California; Fort Belvoir, Virginia; Fort Huachuca, Arizona

WHAT ABOUT OTHER AGENCIES?

The Excel spreadsheet and the document about fleet transfers. Both were from the same network.

YOU'RE NOT SURE IF THE 'NON-TERRESTRIAL' ON THE EXCEL SPREADSHEET OF NON-TERRESTRIAL PERSONNEL WAS IN ANY PARTICULAR AMERICAN AGENCY SUCH AS ARMY, AIR FORCE, PENTAGON AND SO FORTH?

I'm pretty sure it was an Army network. It may even have been China Lake, actually. I am just trying to put my mind back to then. It was toward the end, probably late 2001. Either China Lake or Fort ... how do you guys pronounce it? [tries to say Huachuca]

FORT HUACHUCA IN ARIZONA. FORT HUACHUCA HAS LONG BEEN LINKED TO EXTRATERRESTRIAL COVER-UP AND SO HAS CHINA LAKE.

Right. This is what amazes me. At the time I was doing this, I was playing it blind a lot of the time. In fact, sometimes I wasn't sure what the function was of the network I was on. I think I may have been in places that were more sensitive than I even realized at the time.

AND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT YOU HAVE COME ACROSS CHINA LAKE AND FORT HUACHUCA WITHOUT KNOWING MUCH ABOUT THEM.

Yes, the reason I remember them is that they were huge, huge networks. Typically you get a few hundred machines. But on both of those and Fort Belvoir, which is what connected me to Huachuca – you're talking about 5,000 machines per network that were wide open. And that's where I think I viewed that imaging technique whereby you have blank passwords on many, many machines. That's why I remember the names. I remember spending a long time in those networks.

AND FORT BELVOIR IS WHERE THE CIA IS LARGELY CONNECTED WITH OTHER THINGS.

Is that public knowledge?

I HAVE REPORTED THIS IN CONNECTION TO INTERVIEWS I HAVE DONE WITH A MAN WHO TOLD ME ABOUT HIS WORK FOR THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY IN THE 1950S, IN WHICH THEY SCREENED 16MM FILM OF UFOS IN THE AIR; THAT THEY SCREENED AUTOPSIES ON NON-HUMAN EXTRATERRESTRIAL BIOLOGICAL ENTITIES; WHERE THEY LOOKED AT PHOTOGRAPHS OF BOTH CRAFT AND ENTITIES FROM OTHER PLACES IN THE WORLD.

Martian Photographs - Raw? Or Airbrushed?

IN THIS PERIOD WHERE YOU ARE NOW TRYING TO FOLLOW UP ON THE WOMAN WHO HAD WORKED FOR NASA AND WHO WAS TESTIFYING SHE HAD BEEN IN A ROOM WHERE THEY HAD FOLDERS CALLED 'FILTERED,' 'UNFILTERED,' 'PROCESSED,' AND 'RAW' ...

That's right.

... AND A MAN WAS EXPLAINING TO HER AT THE JOHNSON SPACE CENTER THAT THEY REGULARLY AIRBRUSHED OUT IMAGES OF UFOS FROM HIGH RESOLUTION SATELLITE IMAGES. SO, I WONDERED IF YOU CAME ACROSS ANYTHING HAVING TO DO WITH ALTERING MARTIAN IMAGES SUCH AS CYDONIA?

Oh, I wish I had. That's something I've seen a lot about. It was very strange to me that the last Mars mission, the communications were scrambled. All the TV pictures were not live for a change. It's the first time in the history that images were shown later.

Early 2002: Gary McKinnon Gets Cut Off At NASA Computer

When I was looking at that cigar image on the screen, someone on the machine in the NASA office was still at work and I think I must have been creating so much network traffic, or they might have seen it on the screen itself. Because I saw the mouse (pointer) move across the screen, right click the local area network icon and disconnect. Hats off to NASA. That cut me out and I could not get back in. At the same time, they closed off the Windows Port 139 Scanning Method.

It was a horrible moment: very triumphant. But it was also the moment I got caught. I felt badly at the time. I thought, 'Crikey, I've just got to what I have been looking for two years and at the same time got caught while finding it!'

I THINK YOU TOLD A BBC INTERVIEWER ABOUT A VERY UNUSUAL AND STRANGE MOMENT WHEN YOU THOUGHT YOU WERE WORKING NIGHTTIME, BUT THERE WAS A NETWORK ENGINEER WHO WAS SUDDENLY COMMUNICATING WITH YOU. CAN YOU TALK ABOUT THAT?

That was incredibly strange. I can't remember where I was, but again it's the graphical remote control, which is silly really. I'm sure a proper hacker would find another way to interact with the machine rather than moving a pointer very visibly across a desktop. But suddenly a WordPad appeared on the screen and someone typed, 'Who are you?' They had obviously seen a mouse moving around.

I had to think on my feet. So, I pretended to this guy that I was from the NIPRNET (Non-classified Internet PRotocol and Route Network), the American military's sensitive UNCLASSIFIED network. I pretended I was a NIPRNET security engineer and that I had discovered some unauthorized scans coming from this machine. So I was using what I was actually doing as a cover to pretend to this guy that I was an official presence.

DO YOU REMEMBER WHICH AGENCY THAT WAS?

It was probably Army or Navy.

WHY WOULD THAT NETWORK ENGINEER IN PERHAPS THE NAVY OR THE ARMY HAVE ACCEPTED YOUR EXPLANATION THAT YOU WERE WORKING FOR THAT MILITARY COMPUTER SECURITY?

The explanation for that was exhibited very well in his behavior. Having told him that I was from NIPRNET security, he took over and immediately started trying to impress me with his knowledge. He ran a virus scan, he had a port scanner thing that checked the machine while communicating with me in WordPad. His human nature was caught up with impressing me such that he accepted my lie.

HAVE YOU HAD ANYONE FROM MILITARY OR CIVILIAN INTELLIGENCE IN ENGLAND OR THE UNITED STATES MAKE CONTACT WITH YOU CONFIDENTIALLY TO SUPPORT THE FACT THAT THERE IS UFO KNOWLEDGE OF EXTRATERRESTRIALS AND BACK-ENGINEERING OF SUCH TECHNOLOGY?

Umm, I've had contact, but not to confirm the phenomenon, no. These are people who are trying to help me.

AND THE IMPLICATION WOULD BE, IF THEY ARE TRYING TO HELP IT'S BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT THE TRUTH IS THAT WHAT YOU WERE GOING AFTER EXISTS?

That's a very logical assumption based on the facts.

LEGAL CHALLENGE OF AMERICAN DEPT. OF JUSTICE EXTRADITION REQUEST

COULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN BETWEEN 2002 AND 2006, WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM BETWEEN YOU IN ENGLAND, WHICH IS YOUR HOME COUNTRY, AND THE UNITED STATES?

The original arrest was by something called the National High Tech Crime Unit here in England.

IT IS OFFICED IN WHICH AGENCY?

It no longer exists. They have been absorbed into a new super agency. I think it is now equivalent to the FBI, called the Serious Organized Crime Association (SOCA).

WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE ARREST AND WHAT HAS HAPPENED SINCE?

March 2002 Arrest Under U. K.'s "Computer Misuse Act"

The initial arrest in March 2002, I was told by the arresting officers that I was got under the Computer Misuse Act in Britain. They said that I would spend maybe 6 months to a year in prison, but most probably community service.

Then I was on police bail, in which you don't pay any money. They just trust you to not run away. I did two police interviews in the same year of 2002. The first of which was very friendly and they were kind of laughing and joking about little green men.

But in between the first and second interview, the National High Tech Crime Unit went over to the Office of Naval Investigation, I think in Washington, D. C. They came back with a very much more heavy attitude. They seemed to be very impressed by the top brass over there (in U. S.).

November 2002 American Department of Justice Threatens Extradition

After that second interview in November 2002, then came the threats from the American Dept. of Justice that they would intend to pursue an extradition case, which is unheard of in computer hacking.

GARY, WHAT WERE THE GROUNDS THAT THE AMERICAN DEPT. OF JUSTICE WAS SAYING THAT THEY COULD EXTRADITE YOU, AN ENGLISH CITIZEN, WHO YOUR GOVERNMENT WAS PREPARED TO PENALIZE YOU UNDER YOUR COMPUTER MISUSE ACT?

OK, well, in 2002, Britain was under the auspices of one of our older extradition bills. I think it goes back to 1989. But having made the threats, the DOJ did not actually pursue the application and put forth a formal request until 2004, which was a year after a brand new U. K. and U. S. only extradition treaty – the 2003 U. K. Extradition Treaty. That changed the face of our extradition law in that it put NO pressure on the requesting party; i.e., the people who want to extradite you – America, in this case. They put NO pressure to provide evidence at all. You just have to provide what they call *prima facie* evidence, a solid case to get solid ground in evidence – evidence being the key as to why this person should be extradited.

2003 New U. K. and U. S. Extradition Treaty Proposed

My legal team and I think this is a definite tactical delay on behalf of the DOJ to wait until there is a law in place, which makes it easier. Bear in mind, the 2003 treaty was formulated for the fast-tracking of terrorist suspects. It's being absolutely misused now. They are using it for white-collar crime. It's been used and abused.

On top of that, not only has it been misused, it's only been signed by the British. Congress, or the Senate, or whoever does that job on your end has NOT ratified it yet. So, it's a one-sided treaty, which I think – I may be wrong – but I think that's illegal in international treaty law. So, that's a tactical delay from the threats in late 2002 until mid-2004, with nothing happening. It's still hanging over my head.

June 2005 Re-Arrested for American Extradition Warrant

I was not actually re-arrested until June 2005.

THAT RE-ARREST WAS WHY?

Because they put forward an indictment and a warrant for my extradition. It formalized the extradition request, more than saying, 'We intend to extradite you.' They actually waited until the act was in place and then put forward a formal request, which ended up being an arrest warrant for the British police to pick me up.

BUT YOU ARE SAYING IT WAS INITIATED BY THE AMERICAN DEPT. OF JUSTICE?

What's called the CPS, the Crown Prosecution Service – they are kind of an intermediary above the police. They (CPS) decided to hand it over from the National High Tech Crime Unit to the American authorities. The NATCU, the Crime Unit, were very angry because it's a very high profile arrest case in cyber crime, which is their field of endeavor. It's a feather in your cap – you get a bigger budget and more respect. But when I asked someone quite high up in the CPS why this happened? Why did I get handed over on a plate from one government to another, when I could very well be tried and prosecuted in my own country?

He said, 'This came from way over my head. It came from very high up.' Now, whether that means someone in the Crime Prosecution Service above him gave the go ahead? Or there is some political shenanigans going on and someone in the top brass connected to E. T. research said, 'We really want this guy!' And my government said, 'OK, you can have him.' Who knows? But the strange thing about this is that America has extradition agreements with, I think, it's 119 or 120 countries. And only two of those countries will extradite their own citizens without any evidence and that's Britain and Ireland. America did not ask publicly for this stipulation. But Britain seemed to hand it over on a plate.

2003 U. K. and American Extradition Treaty Still Not Ratified by U. S.?

AND TO DATE, AS YOU AND I SPEAK ON MONDAY, JUNE 5, 2006, THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS OR AGENCY IN OUR GOVERNMENT HAS STILL *NOT* SIGNED THIS EXTRADITION TREATY WITH ENGLAND?

Supposedly that's exactly true. In fact, the last meeting the U. S. Senate had was behind closed doors. There are no reports on what they said, but the outcome of it was that they refused to move forward on it. I think there were some complications that had to do with the IRA and Irish nationals living in America. I hear there is a huge Irish backlash (in U. S.).

BUT, GARY, IF OUR COUNTRY HAS NOT SIGNED OFF ON THIS EXTRADITION TREATY WITH ENGLAND, HOW COULD WE BE EXTRADICTING YOU TO THE UNITED STATES IN THIS MONTH OF JUNE IF THAT IS THE CURRENT PROPOSED PLAN?

That's a very good question. I think a treaty implies more than one partner to it. You would assume that everyone would have to sign it for it to have full legal effect. But Britain is just steam rolling ahead and saying yes to every request without evidence except that you have to identify this is the person you want and have an affidavit by experts saying, 'We accuse him of this and this and the other.'

A one-sided treaty – who's ever heard of such a thing?! Also, it's retrospective. So, although the treaty was enacted in late 2003 – which was rushed through Parliament here in England, by the way. It was at a time that most MPs (Members of Parliament) were on holiday at Christmas time and they used something called the Queen's Statutory Instrument, a special way of forcing something through.

I'm not very happy with the laws around here. All I want is to be tried in my own country under my own law system.

THE TREATY THAT WAS RAMMED THROUGH IN ENGLAND DURING THE CHRISTMAS HOLIDAY AND STILL HAS NOT BEEN SIGNED BY THE UNITED STATES WOULD BE RETROACTIVE TO WHICH YEAR?

That's a very good question. I think there is no backwards limit.

Fighting Extradition in European Court of Human Rights

WHAT DOES YOUR LAWYER THINK ABOUT THE CHANCE OF YOUR DEFEATING THE EXTRADITION REQUEST?

I think on the face of it that's unlikely the way things are going, the erosion of civil liberties, especially since Britain and the U. S. are such great partners. We are also great partners when it comes to eroding our civil liberties. You can look at the changes in health and education over here to parallel the changes in health and education in your own country. We almost have a copy of your government. So, on the face of it, not very hopeful. But, if you get the public awareness. In America, you have a great tradition of governments really listening to public pressure. That's a really healthy thing about your system over there.

Over here, they don't really tend to listen quite so much. But that's what we are trying to do. I think she (attorney) thinks that if we can get the public opinion behind us and get enough people to speak up and see how unfair the law is, and if enough people listen and it airs widely enough, hopefully it will change the law. All I'm asking is for a fair trial in my own country."

More Information:

For further information about the American government's cover-up of an extraterrestrial presence, please see reports below in the **Earthfiles Archives** and my books, *Glimpses of Other Realities, Volumes 1 and II; An Alien Harvest*; and my documentary films, *A Strange Harvest* and *Strange Harvests 1993*, in the **Earthfiles Shop**.

- 06/01/2006 -- Recent Cattle Mutilations in Kiowa County, Colorado
- 05/18/2006 -- Top Secret UFO Retrieval During 1983 Grenada War
- 05/12/2006 -- An Extraterrestrial School for Humans
- 05/08/2006 -- Another Extraordinary EBE Telepathic Upload/Download
- 05/05/2006 -- Military Man's Description of EBE Telepathic Download
- 04/28/2006 -- Modern Warfare Applied to Extra-Terrestrial Invasion
- 03/23/2006 -- Part 2: U.S. Navy Chief Yeoman Describes "Extraterrestrial Biological Entities" in TOP SECRET/MAJIC Photographs
- 03/17/2006 -- Part 1: U.S. Navy Chief Yeoman Describes "Extraterrestrial Biological Entities" in TOP SECRET/MAJIC Photographs
- 02/27/2006 -- Part 4: Military Voices Reply About Government Interaction with UFOs
- 02/17/2006 -- Updated: White Sands Navy Radar Operator Saw Discs Circle V-2 Rocket Launches
- 02/10/2006 -- Crashed Disc Photos, "Ebens," and Area 51 "Anti-Gravity"
- 02/05/2006 -- Part 11 - Peculiar Phenomenon: Early United States Efforts to Collect and Analyze Flying Discs
- 01/27/2006 -- Part 2: Navy Physicist and USAF Geophysicist Discuss UFOs and ETs
- 01/20/2006 -- Part 1: Navy Physicist and USAF Geophysicist Discuss UFOs and ETs
- 01/13/2006 -- 31st Cattle Mutilation on Red Bluff, California Ranch
- 12/24/2005 -- Part 3 - Peculiar Phenomenon: Early United States Efforts to Collect and Analyze Flying Discs
- 12/21/2005 -- Updated: Part 2 - Peculiar Phenomenon, Early United States Efforts to Collect and Analyze Flying Discs
- 12/17/2005 -- Sirius's Companion Star, Sirius B, Finally "Weighed"
- 12/07/2005 -- Zeta Reticuli I and II - Binary Home of Extraterrestrial Biological Entities?
- 12/02/2005 -- Former Canadian Minister of Defence Calls for Public Parliament Hearings About UFOs and Extraterrestrials
- 11/02/2005 -- Part 3: Unusual Animal Deaths -Sheep Mutilations in Moortown, Dartmoor, England
- 10/27/2005 -- Updated Part 1: Unusual Animal Deaths - 22 Horses and 1 Burro Near Calhan, Colorado
- 09/08/2005 -- Canadian UFO Researcher Blocked by U. S. Homeland Security From Speaking at NUFOC Conference, Hollywood
- 07/29/2005 -- Bentwaters and Rendlesham Forest Mystery Beyond December 1980
- 04/14/2005 -- "Battle of Los Angeles" On February 25, 1942: When America's 37th Coast Artillery Brigade Fired Off 1,430 Anti-Aircraft Shells At A UFO
- 02/21/2005 -- Updated - Bull and Cow Mutilations Northwest of Corpus Christi, Texas
- 01/15/2005 -- Bull and Cow Mutilations Northwest of Corpus Christi, Texas
- 12/30/2004 -- Audience Feedback About Earthfiles "September 12, 1952: America's 'Secret War'?"
- 12/30/2004 -- September 12, 1952: America's "Secret War"? Part 3: Nuclear Physicist Stanton Friedman Comments
- 12/30/2004 -- September 12, 1952: America's "Secret War"? Part 2: USAF Starfire Disappeared Over Gulf of Mexico
- 12/30/2004 -- September 12, 1952: America's "Secret War"? Part 1: UFO Retrieval in Flatwoods, West Virginia
- 12/22/2004 -- Part 2: "Reasons Why U.S. Government CAN'T Release Truth About UFOs!" with Canadian Researcher, Grant Cameron
- 12/22/2004 -- Part 1: "Reasons Why U.S. Government CAN'T Release Truth About UFOs!" with Canadian Researcher, Grant Cameron
- 12/10/2004 -- Part 3: "Peculiar Phenomena," V-2 Rockets - and UFOB Retaliation?
- 11/12/2004 -- Strange Metal Pieces from Plains of San Agustin Alleged UFO Crash Site Analyzed.
- 10/23/2004 -- 1948 Aztec, New Mexico UFO Crash: Policemen, Disk and Humanoids
- 08/18/2004 -- New Mexico Gov. Bill Richardson Wants More Investigation of 1947 Roswell UFO Crash
- 07/21/2004 -- The Apollo Program, Cape Canaveral and UFOs
- 06/18/2004 -- German Scientist Werner von Braun Anticipated Terrorists, Asteroids and ETs on American "Enemy's List"
- 05/23/2004 -- Part 2: Whistleblower Microbiologist Dan Burisch Interview on June 7, 2003
- 03/17/2004 -- Part 1 - "Horrible Secret" in UFO Crash Retrievals Near Roswell, New Mexico, in July 1947?
- 11/19/2003 -- Updated - 1954 Manual: "Extraterrestrial Entities and Technology" - Reasons It Is Authentic
- 09/15/2003 -- Update Part 1 - Whistleblower Microbiologist Speaks Out About Alleged "Alien" Named J-Rod
- 04/15/2003 -- Triangular Aerial Craft Over Area 51, Nellis AFB, Nevada
- 02/01/2003 -- Six Unidentified Triangular Aerial Craft Over Uinta Mountains, Utah
- 12/28/2002 -- UPDATED Part 1: Cape Girardeau, Missouri, Non-Human Craft and Bodies Retrieval in

1941

- 12/07/2002 -- Evolution of Crop Patterns Near Britain's Chilbolton Radio Telescope Observatory, 1999 - 2002
 - 11/09/2002 -- UPDATED: Majestic-12 Documents with Ryan Wood About Extraterrestrial Technologies
 - 10/26/2002 -- Former White House Aide, John Podesta, Says Government Should Open Up UFO Files
 - 10/09/2002 -- Extraterrestrial Biological Entities and Discs Described by CIA/U.S. Army Administrator, 1957-1960
 - 10/05/2002 -- Part 2 - J-Rod and Microbiologist Danny B Burisch, Ph.D.
 - 10/05/2002 -- Part 1 - Two Scientists Describe An Extraterrestrial Biological Entity Called "J-Rod"
 - 02/12/2002 -- JFK, MJ-12 and Outer Space
-

Websites:

Gary McKinnon's Extradition Fight: <http://freegary.org.uk>
<http://www.pledgebank.com/FreeGary>

Donna Hare Radio Interview: http://www.ufoarea.com/nasa_airbrush.html
and Windows Media Player: <http://www.checktheevidence.com/Misc/15-Donna%20Hare.wmv>

Menwith Hill Station, U. K.: <http://www.fas.org/irp/facility/menwith.htm>

TOP SECRET MAJIC Majestic-12 Documents: <http://www.majesticdocuments.com>

American Executive Branch UFO Cover-Up: <http://www.presidentialufo.com>

Gary McKinnon's music for film: <http://www.lunargirl.com/>

Credits

**Copyright © 1999 - 2009 by Linda Moulton Howe.
All Rights Reserved.
www.earthfiles.com
earthfiles@earthfiles.com**

Republication and dissemination of the contents of this
screen or any part of this website are expressly
prohibited without prior Earthfiles.com written consent.

**[Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#)
[Refund Policy](#)**

**Copyright © 1999 - 2009, Earthfiles.com / DigitalEyeCandy.ca
All rights reserved.**